

November 20, 2020

MP Marie-France Lalonde,
Good morning Marie France,

Thank you and congratulations; This must be the first time that an MP has answered me on the topic without giving answers which avoid the question or comments.

1. I am glad that you support my request for a much clearer definition of 'Conversion Therapy'.

In June/19. Fed. Health minister Taylor advised provincial health and justice ministers to ban conversion therapy as shameful and cruel, as it presumes that someone's sexual orientation to be abnormal.

Quote from your link Bill C 6

Conversion therapy aims to change an individual's sexual orientation to heterosexual, to repress or reduce non-heterosexual attraction or sexual behaviours, or to change an individual's gender identity to match the sex they were assigned at birth.

We know that in the past 'Therapy' has taken the form of punitive enforcement. Currently, and for many years, this consultation has taken the form of sound psychological or spiritual counsel without compulsion to decide For or Against conversion.

2. Conversion Therapy is a misnomer. Gender Conversion is a life affection transformation.

Therapy can only occur after the Treatment has taken place, to deal with the reality of the transformation and help the individual to realize the potential consequences; No, there are not only positive, but also negative consequences.

What happens before the Treatment is consultation -not therapy-, but consultation, counseling.

See Effects of Treatment insert:

Since 2019 the drug *Lupron* has been used to stop puberty on pre-teen children to begin "Gender Treatment. This treatment has been linked to 6,000 deaths and 20,000 adverse reactions. Evidence has shown that such treatment with puberty blockers has the danger of physical and psychological reactions.

In 2019 Andrew Lawton quoted the Canadian Medical Advisory Journal that suggested that family physicians be advised to treat children from gender dysphoria with puberty blockers, *but not before age 10! Lawson's article in the Interim suggested this to be a reckless experimental treatment with potentially serious side-effects. 3)*

Banning 'Conversion Therapy' -not Therapy but Consultation-, would take away the individual's right to give help to understand and cope with wanted, and at times unwanted, same sex orientation. The law would infringe on the religious rights and interfere with the spiritual and psychological counselling of minors.

Again, the uncertainty of Therapy needs to be clarified and clearly identified to whom it applies.

3. Furthermore, another major issue needs to be considered. The recipient of 'Conversion Treatment', must not, under any circumstances, be a Minor and to make such choices, before they are even allowed to drive , vote, or drink alcohol.

As any parent knows who has teenage children or younger, they are easily influenced by countless societal forces and consequently change their goals and desires with great frequency. No Minor must be subjected to such fundamentally life-changing procedure, which they could greatly regret upon adulthood, giving guilt feelings and anger towards those adults who 'therapeutically allowed this procedure to take place.

I thank you for your reply and consideration of my comments.

May I assume that you would not be opposed to my sharing this conversation with other members of parliament?

With best regards,

PAX CHRISTI,

Eugene Perabo
Ottawa, ON

On Wed, 18 Nov 2020 at 09:29, Lalonde, Marie-France - Députée <Marie-France.Lalonde@parl.gc.ca> wrote:

Good morning Eugene,

Thank you for your email, I hope you are staying well!

I want to start by saying that I do support a clear definition of conversion therapy in this bill which has just passed second reading and will pass along your comments on this regard to my colleagues and to the government.

I also understand this is a very sensitive topic, and I am glad we can discuss this subject openly.

Bill C-6 does not target forms of support like counselling, phycologists, or gender dysphoria advice from family doctors, nor from the family. This Bill targets conversion therapy, meaning a practice that aims to change an individual's sexual orientation to heterosexual and to repress or reduce non-heterosexual attraction or sexual behaviours. This practice has been long discredited by the scientific community and poses serious ethical concerns. The government has consulted extensively to take the decision to move forward with Bill C-6, and more

importantly on how it will be implemented. I invite you to look into the quotes from numerous health experts on Bill C-6 through this link: <https://www.justice.gc.ca/eng/csj-sjc/pl/ct-tc/support-soutien.html>

Discussions that explore identity are not considered to be conversion therapy, and are not targeted by this bill. However, there is a difference between exploring someone's identity and telling them that who they are is wrong and in need of fixing. Conversion therapy is about actively working or providing services designed to change who someone is. That is what we are targeting in this legislation. By banning conversion therapy, we are taking one important step to advance true equality and building a country where all Canadians have the right to be themselves.

Thanks again for writing and take care.

Sincerely,

Marie-France

-----Original Message-----

From: Eugene PERABO <eugeneperabo@gmail.com>

Sent: November 16, 2020 9:14 PM

To: Lalonde, Marie-France - Députée <Marie-France.Lalonde@parl.gc.ca>

Subject: Parental Rights are Human Rights

Dear Mrs. Lalonde,

If consultation is proper to accept, then consultation must be proper to consider, reconsider or reject. Do any of the MPs have children who could be in this situation and want to be consulted. Ultimately, what a child of majority does mis his right, up to that time s/he is vulnerable to outside and peer oppression Put a stop to this totalitarian type of legislation, or are you as a true Liberal not to vote against policy, only against your conscience

According to the United Nations' Universal Declaration of Human Rights, "Parents have a prior right to choose the kind of education that shall be given to their children."

However, in Canada, the human rights of parents are being trampled by LGBT agitators in their stampede to hijack the upbringing and education of other people's children, by shunting these impressionable youngsters down the corridors of LGBT ideology in schools and, worse, in practice.

For example, the father of a teenage girl in British Columbia is being forced to watch helplessly - bound under court gag order and threat of incarceration - as his young daughter is medically manipulated toward a life of sterility and transsexual impersonation through hormonal drug abuse and surgical disfigurement.

Is this the way Canada observes the Human Rights of parents?

The anti-parental rights Bill C-8 (to ban so-called "Conversion Therapy") will only worsen the plight of parents like the BC father mentioned above, as it would fine and imprison them for trying to protect their children from the regimen of self-destruction that is transsexualism.

I urge you to vote against anti-parental rights Bill C-6. Parental rights are human rights!

Sincerely,

Eugene PERABO
957 Snowshoe Cees.
Orleans, ON K1C 2Y3
eugeneperabo@gmail.com